

Yikes: The Brave New World of Genetically Modified People

Analysis by [Tessa Lena](#)

May 27, 2022

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › The World Economic Forum claims that genetic modification is the way of the future
- › In February 2022, Chile passed a law prohibiting employers from discriminating against genetically impaired employees
- › There are studies suggesting that in some cases, COVID injections might lead to changes in human DNA; more research is needed
- › Disabled rights attorney Todd Callender looked at a Supreme Court case from 2013, which led him to believe that using mRNA to modify genomes may turn people into legal property of the patent holders (unless this interpretation is explicitly ruled out)
- › Making us biologically dependent on the “artificial immunity service” is bad for the people but sadly good for business

This story is about the hubris of conquest and genetic modification. Let's start with the ugly. The richest people of the world, as well as their loyal servants from the World Economic Forum, are on the Genetic Modification team. According to the [World Economic Forum](#), "the evolution of gene testing and gene editing will drive the future of healthcare."

They really can't sleep at night knowing that they have not yet stuck their fingers into every nook and cranny of the human body and efficiently monetized them! Here is a

technical video from 2016 on "Harnessing Gene Editing for Multiple and Permanent Genetic Changes."

I don't know ... somehow I am not excited. Besides, all of this sounds suspiciously like [Manifest Destiny 2.0](#).

A Legal Framework for a "Mutant Apocalypse"?

In February 2022, Chile passed a [law](#) prohibiting employers to discriminate against genetically impaired employees. The bill was "initiated on a motion by the Honorable Senator Mr. Alejandro Navarro Brain." In response, a lot of people in the freedom community started asking questions. Does somebody expect a great increase in the number of genetically impaired people? Why? Here is the law (translated):

"Article 1. – No employer may condition the hiring of workers, their permanence or the renewal of their contract, or the promotion or mobility in their employment, to the absence of mutations or alterations in their genome that cause a predisposition or a high risk of a pathology that may manifest itself during the course of the employment relationship, nor require for such purposes any certificate or examination that allows verifying that the worker does not have mutations or alterations of genetic material in his human genome that may lead to the development or manifest in a disease or physical or mental abnormality in the future."

"Article 2. – The worker may express his free and informed consent to undergo a genetic test, in accordance with the provisions of article 14 of Law No. 20,584, as long as it is aimed at ensuring that he meets the physical or mental conditions necessary and suitable to carry out work or tasks classified as dangerous, with the sole purpose of protecting their life or physical or mental integrity, as well as the life or physical or mental health of other workers."

If these exams are required by the employer, the latter must assume the cost of it. Likewise, if there is a current employment relationship, the time used to carry out said exams will be understood as worked for all legal purposes."

"Article 3. – Health establishments and laboratories that carry out this type of examination, as well as employers who access this information, must adopt all the security measures prescribed in Law No. 20,584 and in Article 12 of Law No. 20,120, in order to protect the privacy of the worker and guarantee confidential handling of the data. The worker will always have the right to access the information revealed by a genetic test."

The law was [published](#) in the official gazette on February 16, 2022. The jury on why this law had to pass is still out. For background on the GMO industry in Chile, here is a "GMO-positive" [analysis](#) from 2016.

What Could Potentially Cause an Excessive Amount of Genetic Impairment?

We, human beings of the 21st century, are bombarded with poisons every step of the way – and we are kind of on our own with this. Our air is polluted. Our water is filled with contaminants (speaking of, as a tangent, the water coming out of my tap in New York City is a little rusty ... go figure). Our food, unless we are super vigilant and can afford organic (and even then) contains God knows what. Glyphosate is everywhere, and so are "forever chemicals."

Plus, on a daily basis, we deal with emotional stress, geoengineering-derived toxicity (about geoengineering, [here](#) and [here](#)), electromagnetic pollution ... seriously, it's a miracle that we are still around, given that our wealthy are treating us like roaches!

And now, we have a new ambitious player in the area of messing with our bodies! Meet the biological bandit, the novel injections! As we all know, the COVID injections are designed to turn our bodies into factories producing spike proteins (in the words

of the [CDC](#), "harmless pieces").

At no point in known history have our bodies been asked to grow foreign spikes on the surface of our cells – so this is frankly kind of creepy. There is a reason why our instincts naturally go against Frankenstein initiatives and untested products. It's because they are Frankenstein and untested!

Case in point: were those products maybe tested for important things like genotoxicity? Let's ask the trustworthy [insert](#) for the trustworthy FDA-approved product, Comirnaty. It says the following:

12 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

12.1 Mechanism of Action

The nucleoside-modified mRNA in COMIRNATY is formulated in lipid particles, which enable delivery of the mRNA into host cells to allow expression of the SARS-CoV-2 S antigen. The vaccine elicits an immune response to the S antigen, which protects against COVID-19.

13 NONCLINICAL TOXICOLOGY

13.1 Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility

COMIRNATY has not been evaluated for the potential to cause carcinogenicity, genotoxicity, or impairment of male fertility. In a developmental toxicity study in rats with COMIRNATY there were no vaccine-related effects on female fertility [*see Use in Specific Populations (8.1)*].

"COMIRNATY has not been evaluated for the potential to cause carcinogenicity, genotoxicity, or impairment of male fertility." Oh. But at least, it is not really genetic modification because it doesn't change our actual DNA, right?

Not so Fast?

First of all, let me point out that the scientists are still discovering new connections and even organs in the human body ([here](#), [here](#), and [here](#)), so any knowledge they have is conditional, and real scientists are humble and cautious. The arrogant ones may puff their cheeks all day – but it doesn't mean that they really know how things work, in a finite manner.

So, in the case of eliminating the possibility of DNA damage, even if the regulators were pure and honest, and if they were truly following the also pure and honest scientists, their statement about the novel product not impacting human DNA is only a "good faith" opinion, as of this second. After all, the product is novel!

Lo and behold, here is dr. Paul Alexander's [analysis](#) of the study called, "[Intracellular Reverse Transcription of Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 mRNA Vaccine BNT162b2 In Vitro in Human Liver Cell Line.](#)"

Quoting the abstract: "Preclinical studies of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine BNT162b2, developed by Pfizer and BioNTech, showed reversible hepatic effects in animals that received the BNT162b2 injection. Furthermore, a recent study showed that SARS-CoV-2 RNA can be reverse-transcribed and integrated into the genome of human cells."

As Dr. Alexander notes poignantly, "the failure is that the vaccine companies did not study this in clinical trial. We have no idea in the human model as we have no idea in everything as to the safety of these vaccines and the short, medium, and long-term effects. We have no idea if persons who have taken these vaccines will not have autoimmune disease or be severely ill, or have severe side effects, or even die from the vaccines, in the future."

And here is analysis by [Mikolaj Raszek, PhD](#), in Canada. Dr. Raszek looked at the Australian Pfizer data, i.e. "[Nonclinical Evaluation Report for BNT162b2 \[mRNA\] COVID-19 vaccine \(COMIRNATYTM\)](#)," submitted in January 2021.

To sum up my answer to the question as to whether COVID injection may alter human DNA, we have no idea. And yet so many people are forced to take them – like cattle (which is not to say that it is right to treat cattle in this manner, it is also wrong).

The Question of Ownership

Many of us remember how Monsanto [sued different farmers](#) after Monsanto's toxic

products contaminated the farmers' crops. The corporate giant claimed that the farmers had illegally used their patented products. (I'd say, this is emotional and intellectual abuse, who even wants their products).

Which brings us to today – and the legal analysis by the disabled rights attorney [Todd Callender](#) who has looked at a U.S. Supreme Court case from 2013, and it led him to believe that this case could have far-fetching legal implications for the recipients COVID injections.

The [case in question](#) is "ASSOCIATION FOR MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY ET AL. v. MYRIAD GENETICS, INC., ET AL." Here is the exact [syllabus](#) of the case, as per Cornell Law School website:

"Each human gene is encoded as deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), which takes the shape of a "double helix." Each "cross-bar" in that helix consists of two chemically joined nucleotides. Sequences of DNA nucleotides contain the information necessary to create strings of amino acids used to build proteins in the body. The nucleotides that code for amino acids are "exons," and those that do not are "introns."

Scientists can extract DNA from cells to isolate specific segments for study. They can also synthetically create exons-only strands of nucleotides known as composite DNA (cDNA). cDNA contains only the exons that occur in DNA, omitting the intervening introns."

"Respondent Myriad Genetics, Inc. (Myriad), obtained several patents after discovering the precise location and sequence of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes, mutations of which can dramatically increase the risk of breast and ovarian cancer.


This knowledge allowed Myriad to determine the genes' typical nucleotide sequence, which, in turn, enabled it to develop medical tests useful for detecting mutations in these genes in a particular patient to assess the patient's cancer risk. If valid, Myriad's patents would give it the exclusive right to isolate an individual's BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes, and would give Myriad the exclusive right to synthetically create BRCA cDNA.

Petitioners filed suit, seeking a declaration that Myriad's patents are invalid under [35 U. S. C. §101](#). As relevant here, the District Court granted summary judgment to petitioners, concluding that Myriad's claims were invalid because they covered products of nature. The Federal Circuit initially reversed, but on remand in light of *Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc.*, 566 U. S. ___, the Circuit found both isolated DNA and cDNA patent eligible."

"Held: A naturally occurring DNA segment is a product of nature and not patent eligible merely because it has been isolated, but cDNA is patent eligible because it is not naturally occurring."

[According to Todd Callender](#), who was interviewed by Corona Investigative Committee, the document holds that the use of mRNA for modification of the genome results in a synthetic genome that belongs to the patent holder. Therefore, if we follow that line of legal logic, it is possible to conclude that using mRNA to modify human cells can result in said cells (and living beings) owned by the patent holder.


As of this moment, there is still no clarity and no official legal conclusion as to whether this interpretation will apply – but given how crazy the past two years have been, and how brazenly the bulldozer has been proceeding so far, nothing seems off limits. Also this:

 **Tessa Lena a.k.a. Tessa Fights Robots** ❤️
@TessaMakesLove

Replying to @TessaMakesLove

A conspiracy theory, you say? A tinfoil hat, you say?
Sounds more like a business plan to me...

A question then. Do you want to live like this? Do you want to become corporate property, literally? What are you doing to prevent it from happening?



fastcompany.com
Privacy in 2034: A corporation owns your DNA (and maybe your body)
NYU professor Amy Webb believes the future of privacy is currently taking shape—and there's a real chance of a truly catastrophic outcome.

7:44 AM · Jul 7, 2021 ⓘ

❤️ 19 💬 Reply ↗️ Share

[Read 2 replies](#)

And we are at it, let's also look at the concept of "DNA storage."



The War on Everything Natural

All this fits in perfectly with the "blue ocean" business model that creates new markets out of thin air.

I wrote about this in detail in a 2021 article about the [war on natural immunity and ability](#) — but the gist is that eroding natural health and natural defenses (whether accidentally or on purpose) turns us into loyal customers who have to subscribe to the "artificial immunity" service for the rest of our lives, however long it lasts. Sucks for us but very good for business!

And where does the money come from, assuming that the citizens are all half-alive and can barely provide for themselves? Well, the money could come, for example, from the virtual digital "press" owned by the central bank, who would fund the "noble" companies providing the artificial immunity services to the people in the name of

"public health" ... You know the drill.

It's all for our own good! In this case, the citizens would exist as mere excuses for the companies to make money. Which, to be fair, has been the case for a while, just in a way that was hidden from many of us – until two years ago.

The end result would look very much like the Monsanto arrangement where living forms are modified to depend on purchased products, while remaining in an increasingly toxic environment. I don't know about you, but I already feel bad for the crippled GMO tomato, and I certainly don't want to turn into something similar.

The Fear of Missing Out

Let's look at the existential picture. Where does all this cluelessness, arrogance, greed, and cruelty come from?

Human beings do a lot of unintelligent and self-destructive things out of fear (I've done it, I am no exception, definitely no finger pointing here). Fear of missing out (and thus being humbled by rivals) is important motive in our culture.

I think that, philosophically speaking, today's unhealthy hunger for conquering nature and scanning every nook and cranny for "goodies" – and then squeezing every drop of juice out everything, and then tossing it out – is driven by a spiritual void, by the lack of joyful faith, by the fear of being existentially vulnerable, intellectually unsophisticated, and emotionally unimportant.

After all, even the most egregious greed covers up for a spiritual void since we don't really need to own everything in the world to be happy. It's like, "If I leave even a drop of valuable goods on the side of the road for someone else to grab them, I'll feel so stupid! And they will sure judge me! And I am afraid of that so I'd rather just take it."

Right now, we are living in times of very ripe and internalized fear and peer pressure but initially, to shake the people off their axis, it had to come with violence, which is

something that all of our ancestors experienced at different points.

Here is a non-GMO-related story that really moved me several years ago, and stuck with me. It was told by the Dagara elder Malidoma Some, who, sadly, passed away last year (and I just learned about it, so I am very shocked and sad).

As a young child, Malidoma Some was kidnapped by priests into a mission boarding school, which he fled as a teen, and then had to undergo a lot of things in order to heal. He then moved to America and dedicated his life to help westerners understand the centuries-tested wisdom of his people.

One of his stories stuck in my mind. He wrote about how the missionaries who came to his village tempted the farmers. The missionaries promised to buy the crops from the farmers if the farmers bought the fancy fertilizers from the missionaries. Some of the farmers got tempted and bought the fertilizers.

The missionaries' fertilizers "made the land angry," and the crops didn't grow. And so those farmers found themselves in debt to the Jesuits for the fertilizers, and without the yields. And from being indebted, they were more vulnerable to the demands of the missionaries.

This sounds very similar to the behavior of Monsanto, leading to farmer suicides in countries like India (a fact so egregious that the establishment had to "[debunk](#)" it).

Similar things were unfortunately done in the Americas, too, as a part of the intentional policy of building outposts around Indian territory to seduce and corrupt the people on the "reservations" (what a word, if you really think about it). The [well-documented](#) strategy was designed to steal more land from the Native people – who were already greatly perturbed by the violence of the "great reset" that had been handed to them by the Europeans.

The plan was to sell them goods "on credit," and put them in so much debt that they would let go of more their land. The final goal was for the Native people to "own nothing and be happy" (yes, [the original American great reset](#), and we really need to

come to terms with how similar it is to the great reset of today, which is still in early stages.

Conclusion

I believe with great passion that in order for us to win this victory and to stop the bulldozer, we need to tackle the underlying spiritual and spiritual malaise, or else the bulldozer will keep coming at us in different ways. Being spiritually honest and brave, and working toward healing after centuries of abuse, are the challenges of our generation, and perhaps of many generations to come.

Bottom line, we are free people. We never belonged to the great resetters, just like the people who came before us never belonged to the great resetters of their time.

And there is no need to scan every nook and cranny of bodies, looking for money. Unmonetized bodies are free bodies. So I pray that we the people start pedaling toward honesty and wisdom so that we can heal.

About the Author

To find more of Tessa Lena's work, be sure to check out her bio, [Tessa Fights Robots](#).